Spectral Methods for Correlated Topic Models Forough Arabshahi* Animashree Anandkumar*

| Topic Modeling | | | Late | nt | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| • Exchangeable topic models are | probabilistic a | dmixture models | Gen | erat | |
| • Exchangeable topic models are probabilistic admixture models | | | | | |
| * Observations: words in a document corpus * Assumption: Words are conditionally i i digiven hidden topics | | | | | |
| * Goal: Recover a distribution over the words and topics | | | | | |
| | | | | or ea | |
| Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) | | | | | |
| * Topic prior distribution: Dirichlet | | | | | |
| * Limitations: | | | | | |
| * Only capable of modeling positive correlations | | | | | |
| * Elements with similar mean need to have similar variance | | | | | |
| distribution over topics | $h \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$ | multinomial(h) | * N | orn | |
| $\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$ | $\cdots \underbrace{g_l}_{\mathbf{x}_l} \mathbf{y}_l \sim$ | multinomial(A_{u_i}) | • Lear | rnir | |
| Figure: The ex | changeable topic | model. | * O | bse | |
| | | | | oals | |
| Overcoming the limitations of | LDA | | * | Rec | |
| * Latent Normalized Infinitely | Divisible (NID |) topic models | * | Rec | |
| * A generalization of the Dirichlet | distribution | | The | Lea | |
| Capable of modeling arbitrary correlations | | | | | |
| Do not require fixing a distribut | ion over the topic | c space | | - p - | |
| Normalized Infinitely Div | visible (NII | D) Distributions | */ | Max | |
| | | | | * Ex | |
| ID distributions * A class of positive or negative | • NID di $* A cli$ | istributions | n the | * M + V: | |
| distributions | simp | olex | | ~ • • • | |
| * Representable as the sum of an * Representable as normalized | | | | | |
| arbitrary number of i.i.d rv's | inde | pendent ID rv's | * C | om | |
| * No closed form pdf in general * No closed form pdf in general | | | | | |
| * Uniquely identified by their * Uniquely identified by their | | | | | |
| • 3 examples of NID distribution | s on the 2-d si | mploy | | | |
| • 5 examples of MID distributions | s on the 2-d sh | lipiex | | | |
| * Dirichlet: * γ -s | table: | * Inverse Gaussia | n: LEN | ۸M | |
| | | | • A li | nea | |
| | | | • 12 • The | CO | |
| | | | • 8 • T |] = | |
| | | | | $+v_1$ | |
| Eigure: $\beta = 1$ | Sure: $\alpha = 0.75$ | Figure: $\lambda = 0$. | | $+v_2$ | |
| MEGA DatA Lab EECS department University | y = 0.10 | | | | |

*University of California, Irvine

NID Topic Models

tive model:

- h document *d* of length *n*:
- z_i 's independently from an ID distribution
- rate h_i 's by normalization
- ach word $i \in [0, n]$ in d:
- aw topic $y_i \sim multinomial(\mathbf{h})$
- aw word $x_i \sim \text{multinomial}(A_{y_i})$

dea: sample generation from a *k*-d Dirichlet distribution

- erate k independent Gamma random variables nalize by their sum
- ng problem
- ervation: Words
- s:
- cover topic-word matrix ${f A}$
- cover the parameters of the topic distribution

arning Problem and Spectral Methods

- ervised learning methods
- ximum likelihood estimation
- xpectation Maximization (EM)
- 1CMC sampling
- ariational methods
- al methods for latent variable models
- bination of low order moments that yield a CP decomposition





* Matrix factorization

* Spectral methods

1A: DECOMPOSIBILITY OF MOMENTS

ar combination of the low order moments has a CP decomposition mbination weights depend on the underlying NID distribution

 $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_2 \otimes \mathbf{x}_3]$ $\mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_2 \otimes \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_3]] + \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_2] \otimes \mathbf{x}_3] + \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}_1] \otimes \mathbf{x}_2 \otimes \mathbf{x}_3]
ight)$ $_{\mathbf{2}}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_1]\otimes\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_2]\otimes\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_3]$



Learning Latent NID topic Models

- * Numerical univariate integration

Real Data experiments

• Data sets:

* New York Tim

- * 300,000 **docum**
- * 102,660 vocabu

Evaluation Measu

* Likelihood Per

- * Evaluates gene
- \star The less the bet

• Perplexity and PMI for New York Times

* Likelihood Perplexity



* Likelihood Perplexity

| * Likelihood Perplexity | | | | * PMI | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------|-------|--------|---------|--------|
| [| Dataset | NYtimes | Pubmed | Da | ataset | NYtimes | Pubmed |
| | NID | 3.5702 e + 03 | 4.0771e + 03 | Ν | NID | 0.2439 | 0.3080 |
| | LDA | 4.8464e + 03 | 4.3702e + 03 | L | _DA | 0.2362 | 0.4487 |

• weights v_1 and v_2 from the Lemma can be computed efficiently

• Third order moments suffice for efficient learning

• *T* can be estimated in Polynomial time

THEOREM: LEARNING RESULT

• Given linear independence of the columns of A, the topic-word matrix can be learned with polynomial sample complexity by decomposing the estimated tensor T of the Lemma into its rank-1 components.

| es: | * Pubmed |
|------------|---|
| nents | \star 8.2 M documents |
| ulary size | \star 141,044 vocabulary size |
| ires: | |
| plexity: | * PMI: |
| ralization | ★ Evaluates topic coherence |
| tter | \star The more the better |



Likelihood and PMI comparison across New York Times and Pubmed

• Conclusions: Latent NID topic models

* Can capture arbitrary correlations in the data

* Can be learned in polynomial time with guarantees

* Achieve better generalization and topic coherence